Garland W. Binns, Jr. Dover Dixon Horne PLLC

Attorneys at Law 425 West Capitol, Suite 3700 Little Rock, Arkansas 72201 Telephone: (501) 978-9923 Facsimile: (501) 372-6484

Email: gbinns@ddh-ar.com Web Site: www.GWBinns.com

UPDATE

News of Developments in the Financial Sector and Related Areas

* IN THIS ISSUE *

Employment Agreements, Change in Control Agreements, Confidentiality and Noncompete Provisions

SIGTARP Quarterly Report

Employment Agreements, Change in Control Agreements, Confidentiality and Noncompete Provisions

As consolidation continues in the financial sector, banks and bank holding companies should consider the use of employment change agreements and in agreements to include provisions relating to confidentiality and noncompete for senior management. In the event a bank or bank holding company has either of these agreements in place, they need to be reviewed from time to time to determine if revisions are needed because of economic or legislative changes, including changes in tax laws.

An employment agreement is generally defined as an agreement between a company and its employee which specifies the rights and obligations of each. The term of an employment agreement will normally run for a fixed period of time or it may run for a fixed term plus rolling renewal periods. One of the advantages of a rolling renewal period in an employment agreement is that the parties do not have to renegotiate the agreement at the end of the

fixed term. Besides the term, an employment agreement will normally specify such things as duties, salary, bonus, benefits, disability, death and retirement. A change in control agreement is designed to become effective upon a change in control of the company. A few examples of events constituting a change in control are as follows:

- A third party acquires 50% or more of the outstanding voting stock of the company.
- The company is a party to a merger or consolidation which results in 50% or more of the voting stock of the company being converted into securities of another entity.
- The sale or disposition of all or substantially all of the company's assets.
- A change in the composition of the board of directors within a specific period of time, resulting in fewer than a majority of the directors continuing to serve as directors.

A change in control agreement serves at least two purposes. It assures that key management personnel will be available both prior to and following the transition in the change in control of a company. Secondly, it assures key management personnel that they will be employed and continue to have their existing authority, duties and compensation.

Employment agreements and change in control agreements generally contain

provisions regarding the protection of confidential information belonging to the a noncompete period company, and prohibiting the executive from competing with the company for a specific period of time and in a specific geographic area following the termination of employment. One of the purposes of a noncompete provision is to prevent the executive from using knowledge or information acquired during his employment to compete with his former employer. In absence of a noncompete provision, the executive may be free to compete with his former employer. A confidentiality provision merely prohibits the executive from disclosing certain private information of his employer. Confidential information generally includes business plans, nonpublic financial statements, customer lists, contracts and other proprietary information belonging to the company, but does not include information that is available to the public.

Both agreements may address termination for cause by the company for acts of the employee, such as fraud, conviction of a felony, excessive absences approval, use of drugs or alcohol and similar conduct. At the same time, agreements should provide protection for the executive by allowing termination for good cause, such as reduction in salary, relocation demotion or without executive's consent. Unlike a termination for cause by the company, a termination for good cause by the employee will generally executive allow the to receive the compensation and benefits under remaining term of the agreement had the agreement remained in force.

Both employment and change in control agreements will generally contain provisions relating to enforcement and remedies available to the company for breach by the executive. The agreements generally will provide for the rights of the company to seek monetary damages and injunctive relief for breach by the executive.

Because provisions in employment and change in control agreements may result in adverse tax consequences to the parties, as well as having provisions which may be unenforceable, it is best to utilize the services of a professional to make sure that the agreements comply with applicable law.

SIGTARP Quarterly Report

The Office of the Special Inspector General for the Troubled Asset Relief Program ("SIGTARP") was established by the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008. Under the Act, the Special Inspector General has the responsibility to conduct, supervise and coordinate audits and investigations of the purchase, management and sale of assets under the Troubled Asset Relief Program ("TARP"). Early this year, SIGTARP issued its quarterly report to Congress.

As of March 31, 2015, 125 institutions remained in TARP as follows: 31 banks remaining in the Capital Purchase Program ("CPP") with principal investments owned by the Treasury; 30 CPP banks for which Treasury now holds only warrants to purchase stock; 64 banks and credit unions in the Community Development Capital Initiative ("CDCI"). The Treasury does not consider the 30 CPP participants in which it holds only warrants to be in the TARP program, however, the Treasury applies all proceeds from the sale of warrants in these banks to recover amounts in TARP's CPP program. The Treasury is continuing to exit the TARP program through the auction of The auctions do not TARP securities. involve TARP's securities of participants in the CDCI program which may be addressed by the Treasury at a later time. At March 31, 2015, 232 banks and credit unions had exited and paid back a portion or all of their principal or had repurchased their shares. Another 137 CPP banks refinanced their TARP holdings in a program known as the Small Business Lending Fund.