Garland W. Binns, Jr. Dover Dixon Horne PLLC

Attorneys at Law

425 West Capitol, 37th Floor Little Rock, Arkansas 72201 Telephone: (501) 978-9923 Facsimile: (501) 375-6484 Email: gbinns@ddh-ar.com

Web Site: www.GWBinns.com

UPDATE

News of Developments in the Financial Sector and Related Areas

* IN THIS ISSUE

Acquisition of Minority Shares in an Arkansas Bank

Fairness Opinions

May Directors Vote by Proxy

Acquisition of Minority Shares in an Arkansas Bank

The Arkansas Banking Code allows for the acquisition of minority shares in Arkansas chartered banks. This acquisition of minority shares is accomplished through a state bank adopting a plan whereby an acquirer, such as an existing bank holding company that may already own a majority of the outstanding shares (or a newly formed bank holding company), enters into a plan of exchange with the bank whereby the remaining shares not owned by the holding company may be exchanged for stock, other securities or cash, combination of cash, stock or other securities of the acquiring bank holding To effect the exchange with company. minority shareholders, a plan of exchange must be entered into between the state bank and the acquirer, setting forth the terms and conditions of the proposed exchange. Once the plan of exchange has been approved by a majority of the board of directors of the state bank and the acquiring entity, it is submitted to the

shareholders of the state bank. Only a majority of the shareholders of the state bank are required to approve the plan of exchange. Although the provisions of the Arkansas Banking Code permitting the plan of exchange are technical in nature, including requiring the approval by the Arkansas Bank Commissioner, these provisions may be an effective tool in eliminating costs associated with minority shareholders and for other purposes.

Fairness Opinions

A fairness opinion is a letter prepared by an independent, qualified person generally addressed to the board of directors of a company which addresses the fairness of a transaction, such as a merger, from a financial point of view. The opinion letter does not address whether a transaction is fair from a legal viewpoint, nor is it intended to constitute a recommendation from the point of view of the company.

For a transaction to be fair, it only needs to fall in a range of fair market value, which may mean that the price that a shareholder will receive may not be the highest price. The opinion letter is simply the judgment of an independent and experienced professional that the terms of a transaction are fair to the company's shareholders.

Since the enactment of the *Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*, a greater responsibility has been placed upon members of boards of directors to make informed business decisions. The board of directors maintain

the responsibility for recommending what is in the best interest of shareholders of the company. As a result, an opinion letter is intended to constitute recommendation as to how shareholders should vote on a proposed transaction since of directors board has responsibility. However, a fairness opinion helps insulate directors from violating their fiduciary duties to the company and its shareholders as required by the business judgment rule.

The business judgment rule generally holds that directors are not liable for decisions that are made in good faith, on an informed basis and with the belief that the action taken was in the best interest of the company and its shareholders. Although there are no laws requiring fairness opinions, they are customarily utilized in assisting the board of directors of a company in fulfilling their fiduciary duties to the company and its shareholders.

Fairness opinions are useful in situations when a company has an employee stock option plan, there is a likelihood of dissenting shareholders or management is receiving additional consideration in a transaction, such as deferred compensation or employment agreements.

May Directors Vote by Proxy

From time to time the question arises as to whether or not a director of a corporation may vote by proxy at a meeting of the board of directors due to circumstances such as being out of town, illness or incapacity. A *proxy* is basically a written authorization directing another person to act in his or her place at a meeting.

Proxy voting by shareholders in a corporation is a well recognized practice, primarily due to geographical limitations,

allowing shareholders to vote at a meeting of a corporation on such matters as the election of directors and changes in common or preferred stock. Absent statutory authority for proxy voting by directors, the general rule is that directors may not vote by proxy because they have a fiduciary duty to the corporation and its shareholders.

Once elected, directors become fiduciaries, which means that they hold a special level of trust and confidence to the corporation and its shareholders. This fiduciary duty may not be delegated to others. Directors have basically two primary duties consisting of (i) duty of care and (ii) duty of loyalty.

The duty of care means that directors must be diligent and careful in performing the duties they have undertaken on behalf of the corporation and its shareholders. This duty of care, which is sometimes referred to as due diligence, means that directors should attend and participate in board meetings in order for them to be informed about the corporation's business. addition, this duty of care means that they must make reasonable inquiry before making a decision. This duty of care also requires them to manage corporate affairs honestly and in good faith, using the level of care that a reasonable prudent person would use under the same aiven circumstances.

The duty of loyalty means that directors must act in the best interest of the corporation and its shareholders at the expense of their own personal interests, thus prohibiting directors from profiting at the corporation's expense in transactions involving the corporation and its assets. Because a director has the fiduciary responsibility for acting (i) in the best interest of the corporation, (ii) as an ordinarily prudent person would act, and

(iii) only after reasonable inquiry, a director may not vote by proxy. However, it has become a generally accepted practice for directors to vote by telephone, so long as everyone present at a meeting can hear each other.